

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,263	10/24/2001	Tom C. Xu	6959	
75	90 07/25/2006		EXAM	INER
Tom C. Xu			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
21010 Sherman Drive Castra Valley, CA 94552		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/038,263	XU, TOM C.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lyle A. Alexander	1743			
The M Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠ This ac 3)⊡ Since tl	nsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Å tion is FINAL . 2b) This his application is in condition for allowa in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition of C	laims					
4a) Of the special state of t	s) 59-66 is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are withdrates) is/are allowed. s) is/are allowed. s) 59-66 is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine wing(s) filed on is/are: a) account may not request that any objection to the ment drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Examine or declaration or declaration is objected to	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) iil Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/038,263

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 2

Claims 59-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amended claims are directed to a sample volume of about 0.1 to 2.0 microliters which is not supported by the original specification. The original specification teaches on page 9 a sample volume of 0.1-0.5 microliters.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 59-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 59 is incomplete. It does not end with a "period" and seems to end in mid phrase. Additionally, the last two lines are not clear and could be clarified by stating – sample volume – instead of the claimed "sample".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 59-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pugh.

See the appropriate paragraphs of the 6/26/03 non-final Office action.

In light of the above 35 USC 112 issues, the limitations directed to the claimed volume range of 0.1-2 microliters have not been considered as these limitations are directed to new matter. The Office has interpreted the claimed invention as a fiber optic sensor with a reagent pad and the appropriate detection/analysis means.

See the appropriate paragraphs of the 6/26/03 non-final Office action for the teachings of Pugh.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant state the above amendments teach a sample volume range of 0.1-2.0 microliters that is not taught by Pugh. The Office has considered these limitations and believes they constitute new matter and are rejected under 35 USC 112 first paragraph.

Applicants' also state the device of Pugh would not be able to accurately measure sample sizes contemplated by the instant invention. Even if Applicants' were to claim a volume range within the scope of the original disclosure, such as 0.1-0.5 microliters, the Office would need factual corroboration of the inability of Pugh to

Art Unit: 1743

perform using the claimed volumes. A proper and timely filed 1/132 Declaration that is within the scope of the claimed subject matter may provide corroboration.

The 35 USC 103 rejections have been vacated because the volume limitations in the amended claims are not supported by the original disclosure and have not been considered further beyond the 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejections.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743